



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,598	/944,598 09/04/2001		Tsuneo Sato	0649-0798P	2439
2292	7590	08/27/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH				EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				AMINI, JAVID A	
		j		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2672	9
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/944,598	SATO ET AL.				
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Javid A Amini	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	=					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>The</i>						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		*				
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Invited A American				
		Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit: 2672				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The propsed changes to independent claim 1, lines 8-9, "color characteristic points" raises new issue. Because the color of an object depends not only on the object itself, but also on the light source illuminating it, on the color of the surrounding area. And also Applicant does not specify "point" the same meaning as "data" in line 2 of claim 1.

MICHAEL RAZAVI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600